ABSTRACT

With globalization, developing countries have been adopting the model of knowledge economy with its flagship features standardized patents and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). This model is supposed to deliver growth and equity through standardized patents and through market corrections of asymmetrical power between the corporations and general public via CSR. However, under this model, distributional equity has been declining. Instead of knowledge increasing and creating more equity, the traditional health-knowledge of tribal people (mostly poor) in India is being denuded along with innovation. This has been due to bio-piracy by multinational corporations. In addition, insensitive state policies that focus on growth policies at the expense of equity have exacerbated the situation. Under such circumstances, the health situation of tribal people is becoming dire. The authors argue that land redistribution and customized Intellectual Property rights (IPR) for communities as a whole may be the way forward provided there is political will.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With increasing globalization developing countries have been adopting the paradigm of knowledge economy for growth and development. India adopted this model under the aegis of the World Bank and the IMF at the beginning of the 90s when it faced balance of payments debacle. By mid 90s it had signed onto the signature flagship of the knowledge economy – Trade Related Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) (Sampat & Amin, 2013; Sampat & Shadlen, 2015; Sampat & Shadlen, 2017). This meant that the Government of India (GOI) granted standardized intellectual property rights (IPR) of ‘product’ patents rather than customized ‘process’ patents to ensure economic growth. In this paper, through secondary research, we explore the consequences of these steps.
undertaken by the GOI for poor tribal people in India. We wish to evaluate whether growth-driven knowledge-economy policies have provided for their health equity.

To the best of our knowledge, no such study has been undertaken before. There have been studies only on tribal health knowledge (Patil & Patil, 2005) and only on tribal health (Desai et al., 2017; Gamit et al., 2017; Kate, 2000), but no author has sought to link knowledge and health equity or their connection with the current paradigm of knowledge-economy. With this research gap in mind, our study will seek to fill in the gap in health policy studies. The tribal population (also called Adivasis) in India is significantly large – it is over 100 million or about 8.6% of the Indian population, according to the 2011 census. They are the indigenous people of India, historically remained outside the dominant Hindu civilization, and continued to be distinct as they escaped colonization by the British. Given their sheer size, it is important to investigate how the current knowledge economy is impacting the health equity of the tribal people in India.

What is the impact of knowledge economy or economic globalization (Brysk & Mehta, 2017; Seo-Young, 2013; Wang, 2017) on tribal people’s rights of access to health in India? In this paper we claim that under the aegis of knowledge economy health services and access to health has declined; social dislocation has increased; loss of biodiversity has occurred; patents of traditional knowledge of communities for the benefit of the communities through customized IPR has not occurred; and innovation by tribal people has declined under the stress of increasing ‘health-poverty’. These facts have happened despite the presence of stores of ‘health-knowledge’ among tribal people, who are the most poor and marginalized in India. We highlight this case with the example of an economically fast growing state in India – Maharashtra. The idea is to demonstrate that under conditions of growth instead of wealth trickling down and increasing welfare and equity, ‘health-poverty’ has increased. The decline in health has been associated with increasing state repression and rising extremism which make the positions of the tribal people’s networks extremely vulnerable.

Focused on growth of exports of medicinal plants, the GOI denies tribal people access to forests, the vital source of medicinal herbs and the source of continuous innovation. Tribal people are simultaneously deprived of land on which they could have cultivated medicinal crops and access to forest resources which provide for cure for various kinds of diseases. This problem is not new – the Forest Act of 1878 gave the State the right to reserve (expropriate, administer and keep tribal people out of) all forests in colonial India and consequently, between 1891 and 1910 one-third of all forests were reserved. Additionally, from 1889 the State started granting monopolies to nontribal traders for the collection of forest produce and imposing duties on tribal collectors. Lately, this situation is further worsened by irresponsible corporate social responsibility (CSR) of pharmaceutical companies as demonstrated in bio-piracy of the poor’s knowledge (Crane et al., 2008; Stohl et al., 2017) and failure to do benefit-sharing from the productive activities undertaken from that knowledge. Patents have helped lock in global inequalities (Carolan, 2008; Scholz & Wolf, 2015; Wolf & Scholz, 2017).

There is a severe lack of environmental CSR, environmental justice, grassroots eco-restoration as a way of life, shared value-creation, and a general lack of emphasis on human rights (Dyllick & Rost, 2017; Montiel & Delgado-Ceballos, 2014; Schönherr et al., 2017; Tomblin, 2009; Wang, 2017). While state and market has both failed to increase the distributional welfare of tribal people, some relief, however, come to them, particularly their women from dedicated non-governmental
groups (NGOs) who provide for healthcare through deliberate social intervention (Mwangi, 2017; Shah & Elliot, 2015; Umashankar & Srinivasan, 2013). There are also NGOs that resist corporate biocolonization, such as, the NGO Navdanya by Vandana Shiva which call for democracy of seeds or the right to own seeds and farmers’ rights to plant crops through traditional methods of cultivation (Salleh, 2006). However, NGOs in India are restricted in their activities as the government seeks to restrict them out of fear of politicization of NGOs and their ability to mobilize the common public (Brody et al., 2015; Kilby 2011; Kumaran, 2014; Rebecchi et al., 2016). Consequently, there are very few avenues left for the tribal people to access health equity.

We begin this paper with conceptual backgrounds on key term knowledge-economy in order to outline the assumptions behind the politics of knowledge economy. We then go on to describe the importance of knowledge communities and how such communities have been marginalized in the drive to create modern knowledge economy. In order to establish the negative impact of modern knowledge economy, it is first important to establish the repository of knowledge among the tribal population in the Indian state Maharashtra in order to establish how such knowledge is being denuded. We then follow it up with by describing the scenario of bio-piracy, state repression, and rising extremism to indicate the multiple ways in which unleashing of free-market knowledge economy has made the position of tribal people precarious.

Next, we provide some examples of health decline among the tribal population and NGO efforts to ameliorate the situation. We conclude with the advocacy that as part of ensuring distributional equity, the Indian government has to take measures to customize IPR for communities as a whole and provide all the necessary services needed for ‘backward’ labeled tribal groups to function within an unfettered free-market economy. Public policies should refocus on equity and make sure that growth does not come at the expense of equity.

2. KNOWLEDGE ECONOMY

With trade-globalization, Southern developing nations get increasingly embedded into a global economy. To catch up with the Northern leaders they are increasingly emulating the paradigm of the knowledge economy (Paul and Mukhopadhyay, 2010), which has become ubiquitous where economic growth is dependent on the information available, rather than the means of production. Before we explore the consequences of the knowledge economy, it is critical to understand the assumptions behind the model of the knowledge economy.

The model of the knowledge economy is propounded by neoliberal economists. First, they favor the operation of free market economy over state provision of public services. This is because they consider the state to be inefficient and corrupt and hence, prescribe state retrenchment. In contrast to state, an asocial, power-free, auto-regulated market is thought to be efficient and helps to provide for individual freedom and welfare (Atasay, 2015; Callaghan, 2015; Coleman, 2013; Lemke, 2001; Olssen, 2006; Pham & Vo, 2019). All structural barriers for disadvantaged populations are overlooked.

Second, in neoliberal vision, utilitarian ethics of cost-benefit would encompass all domains of the social including communities which stand out for differing from any economic rationality. In doing so, neoliberals ignore all forms of market failure including negative externalities (such as, loss of
biodiversity) and inability to provide for collective good (such as, healthcare.) They also fail to take into account morality that is altruistic and beyond utilitarianism, a morality that tends to define group affiliations. In this sense, neoliberalism disembeds the economy from the social.

Third, neoliberals emphasize the sustainable characteristic of knowledge. However, it emphasizes only knowledge that is ‘intellectual,’ discounting the ‘physical labor’ and ‘natural resources’ needed to create knowledge. Knowledge is also seen as individualized, utilitarian and commodifiable and hence, only codifiable ‘scientific’ knowledge is valued. This discounts a whole stream of non-codifiable traditional knowledge.

Fourth, neoliberals consider patents to be incentives for knowledge production of business. Hence, they support the highly skewed stringent standardized IPR inscribed in international treaty system TRIPS (Cottier, 2015; Sell, 2005; Urpelainen & Van de Graaf, 2015). Coerced into accepting such a patent system (Cohen, 2008, 2017), developing states have been left with very little leverage for distributional issues (Khan & Patomaki, 2010) and there has been a constant struggle over human rights in TRIPS implementation (Drahos & Braithwaite, 2004; May, 2006; Sell, 2007). Standardized product patents replaced previous customized process patents and have led to commodification and privatization of scientific knowledge production (Baskaran & Boden, 2008). This helps in providing for accumulation of wealth for corporations (Herstatt et al., 2008; Herstatt & Tiwari, 2017; Narula & Kodiyat, 2016).

Fifth, neoliberals believe that IPR provides incentives for innovation for individuals and increase their productive activity. This is expected to help develop human capital. IPR for communities is not considered an option. Critics point out that instead of market-driven innovation, if innovation is customized taking into account local social structures and history, IPR can be useful (Baskaran & Boden, 2008). However, given that only modern science is now considered science, traditional knowledge of indigenous societies are not given the protection of patents as it is not considered as science.

Sixth, in light of widespread inequity in developing states, neo-liberal economists put significant faith in corporate social responsibility (CSR) to rectify developmental issues. CSR is supposed to provide for market corrections for asymmetrical power. Corporations as individuals proactively and voluntarily take steps to demonstrate their adherence to rule of law and engage in stakeholder management in order to avoid the costs of government regulation and sanctions (Garcia et al., 2017; Hsiao, 2015; Lawrence & Weber, 2013; Moura-Leite et al., 2014; Trivedi, 2016).

Lack of customized IPR for indigenous knowledge has generated perverted incentives for bio-piracy or stealth of local knowledge. The way this happens is through ‘bio-prospecting’ of healing indigenous plants by corporate appointed scientists. The local scientists working within the purview and incentive structure of a multinational corporation use their local knowledge to network, through middlemen (Ramakrishnappa & Krell, 2002) and tap into the knowledge of local indigenous communities without these groups being aware of what they are giving away in terms of proprietary rights. Once, a ‘healing’ plant is identified, the healing active ingredient in a healing plant is decoded through genome deconstruction by the scientists (Grain, 2007) This process is, ironically, a process similar to reverse engineering of synthetic drugs that used to be undertaken under the banner of process patents. Such a process was anathema for Western corporations, who considered it to be stealing of their knowledge, and it led to the institution of standardized patents.
in TRIPS. The fact that the same Western corporations are now conducting reverse engineering of tribal knowledge reveals the hypocrisy of CSR. The situation is further worsened by the fact that there are no significant attempts by businesses to share the benefits of such knowledge with the original knowledge preservers and developers of such healing systems.

Given this overall background, many have asserted that the current form of the knowledge economy shows modern forms of accumulation of surplus value along with primitive accumulation (Brass, 2011) of knowledge through dispossession of primary owners in the South which is rich in traditional knowledge (Brand & Gorg, 2008; Gibb, 2007; Tyfield, 2008; Zeller, 2008). Trade has converted that which used to be traditionally immediately useful products into commodities for international exchange. But, since this trade is defined by highly asymmetric power relations between producers, consumers and intermediate appropriators of the products, the trading system instead of being a value-producing network has become a realm of highly contested value-capture (Love, 2007).

Due to the constant tension between individual and societal rights and the negative impact on human rights, we argue that instead of standardized IPR, if IPR is customized to local social dynamics (like local knowledge conservation), it may be more useful. IPR should serve a useful social purpose of increasing tribal peoples’ political voice and socio-economic situation, ensuring developmental equity, and engendering radical and incremental innovations. In the meantime, in the absence of such measures, commerce instead of being a bond of union among nations and citizens, as visualized by the liberal world-view, has become the most fertile source of animosity and social conflict. Such conflict has been predicted by Polyani (2001) – he pointed out that disembedding the economy from its constitutive social base would give rise to counter-movements in the civil society.

3. THE IMPORTANCE OF KNOWLEDGE COMMUNITIES

Knowledge economy tends not to see knowledge as being embedded in relational networks which constitute an ‘intellectual commons’. Nor is knowledge seen as functional for maintenance of a community in itself. However, Scheduled Tribes (STs), the most oppressed and poor people in India are not developed enough and dis-embedded from their communities enough to have individual patent based knowledge.

Beyond knowledge it is necessary to look at issues of access to forest land or ‘natural capital’ as the source of sustainability of such knowledge-base. Such knowledge-base helps to build human capital, engender innovations and sustain social capital (Aslund & Starrin, 2014). But, the knowledge economy fails to take into account the initial inequity that arises due to lack of access to knowledge-bases due to lack of ownership or tenure of forestlands because of repressive government policies (Mukhopadhyay & Paul, 2018). Moreover, land grabs for commercial purposes are on the rise in India. Under such situation, contra the knowledge economy prediction, instead of individual property rights being on the rise there is increasing loss of property right due to loss of ancestral lands by tribals.

There is lack of widespread alternative income opportunities for tribal people and they suffer from severe malnutrition. Non-surviving and sick populace can hardly care for forests and generate
innovations. Moreover, lack of alternative income sources causes distress migration to take place. When Scheduled Tribes migrate, forests do not get conserved by those who have the best knowledge for it. This creates for a ‘unique’ Tragedy of the Commons where knowledge available is not efficiently used to preserve forests. Contra knowledge-economy prediction of welfare, there is unsustainable health outcomes despite the presence of traditional knowledge regarding health due to lack of access to knowledge resources. Tribal people are deprived of their right to life, and efficient human capital, as predicted by the knowledge economy, cannot be formed.

Considering the above mentioned background, it is important to look at the store of knowledge present in the state of Maharashtra and how such knowledge is being threatened by bio-piracy and government acts of unilateral conservation and commercial exploitation of forests.

4. THE STORE OF KNOWLEDGE IN MAHARASHTRA

Maharashtra is the third largest state in India (see the map in Appendix 1) and has the second largest tribal population of 10.2% (Kate, 2000). For tribal people, forests are critical sources for fodder, fuel wood and medicine. Herbal ethno-medicine tend to be a mixture of plants, animal products and minerals, along with various rituals and practices that are used in the process of administering them (Kulkarni & Deshpande, 2011; Muruganandam et al., 2014). For illiterate and socio-economically backward, poorest of the poor population in India, such medicines are absolute lifeline for survival. Such knowledge is uncodified, has a base in the community, is oral and passed down from generation to generation. Hence, saving the utilization potential of such knowledge is very important.

Patil & Patil (2005) record the ethnomedicinal practices of the tribes of Mahadeo Koli, Katkari, Bhils, Kunabi-kokona, Thakurs & Warlis in the Nasik district of Western Ghats of Maharashtra. Antidotes in their various decoctions to counter snake-bites, scorpion-stings, gastro-intestinal disorders, diabetes, leucorrhea, cough-cold headache, kidney stone, pediatric vermifuge, etc., are recorded as diseases treated by the tribal people. Kosalge & Fursule (2009) found that the Pawara tribal people living in Satpuda hills of Nandurbar district of Maharashtra regularly use 52 species of plants belonging to 36 families to cure diseases. Such diseases include “skin disorders, burn, diarrhea, jaundice, mouth ulcer, fever, joint pain, abdominal pain, piles, migraine, menstrual problems, urinary problems, wounds, dog bite, intestinal worms, and as anthelmintic and abortifacient” (p. 460-461). Bhardwaj et al. (2011) document 47 plants in Amravati and Yavatmal district in Maharashtra. The plants are used to treat conditions like inflammation, fever, hepatic disorder, hypertension, wounds, leprosy, TB, etc. (Brown et al., 2017). Furthermore Kamble et al. (2010) document the practices of the Bhilla tribe of Jalgaon, and Nandurbar districts of Maharashtra. They identify 127 plants belonging to 116 genera and 59 families of flowering plants and ferns used to treat diseases such as cholera, malaria in humans and curing cattle’s stomach inflation. Similarly, Katkari tribes who live in the Thane and Raigad districts of Western Maharashtra use plants to cure both human and livestock diseases.

Jagtap et al. (2006) document the ethnomedicinal practices of the Korku tribe of Amravati district of Maharashtra (Bose et al., 2015). Korkus use 66 species of plants belonging to 40 families to reduce vaginal discharge, induce sterility, cure encephalitis, and stroke among humans; and fracture and prolapse in cattle. In the community, traditional healers or ‘Bhumkas’ transfer the
knowledge of medicine to select teenagers who work as their assistants. Problem is that if the teenagers choose to leave their traditional way of life, not only is such knowledge lost, conservation of plants becomes problematic. Hence, it is critical to note that the authors document nine plant species that are on the endangered red-listed species.

When it comes to problems of knowledge access, the barriers are not just a lack of adequate modern infrastructure, it is also cultural exclusion of women by men in the communities from such knowledge base. Suroowan et al. (2017) document how women despite such exclusion have developed treatment expertise such as knowledge relating to pregnant and lactating mothers through their own initiatives. Given the increasing feminization of agriculture, such knowledge dissemination and preservation becomes critical for women.

In Maharashtra, the National Medicinal Plant Board, the all-India NGO Foundation for Revitalization of Local Health Tradition (FRLHT) and Pune district based NGO Rural Communes Medicinal Plant Conservation Centre (RCMPCC) have been involved in 13 projects working with tribal women and healers to conserve and document knowledge. The RCMPCC has created a systematic database on 326 medicinal plants, 465 herbal formulations, illness-specific database on 265 plants, a herbarium record of 804 species and initiated the People’s Biodiversity Register to document women’s and healers knowledge. As part of the resource institution of the State Medicinal Plant Board, it has conducted market study of 22 medicinal plants with the goal of providing benefit-sharing to the tribes (Holmukhe & Antwal, 2017; Josyula et al., 2016; Shukla & Gardner, 2006; Upadhya et al., 2014). Such conservation and developmental efforts are direly needed to ensure continuity of knowledge. Despite such efforts, threat in the form of loss of knowledge comes from bio-piracy which we explore next.

5. BIO-PIRACY

One of the first steps that the GOI took to counter bio-piracy was to create the Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TDKL) to document the knowledge of plants and traditional remedies. However, mere documentation without an emphasis on customized patent for communities as a whole means that there is no guarantee that IPR issues will be protected from bio-piracy. Bio-piracy occurs because of two things. First, tribal people do not know about the necessity of IPR to protect knowledge and often give it away for free to private entities. Second, biotechnological inventions being made, using traditional knowledge through identification of bioactive compounds, are often not protected as prior art in other countries, such as, the USA (Bala, 2011; Williams, 2017).

The documentation through TDKL happened as Indian scientists noted a disturbing trend of bio-prospecting of natural healthcare solutions by foreign corporations. After searching the records of global trademark offices, Indian officials found 5,000 patents had been given for traditional medicinal plants, more than 2000 of which belonged to the Indian system of medicine. The companies involved had spent about $150 million to get such patents, without providing any compensatory benefits to the original holders of such knowledge. This caused concern and raised eyebrows of the scientists. The cause for such surprise was that multinationals were spending millions on traditional remedies when many lobbies in the Western world denied the validity of such traditional forms of treatments (Ramesh, 2009). After documenting through TDKL, the GOI
then licensed 200,000 local treatments as ‘public property.’ Such knowledge was free for use but, could not be sold as a ‘brand.’ This did not help in bringing specific benefits to specific communities who developed the knowledge over generations. Customized IPR with benefit-sharing would have been helpful instead.

Given the vast store of traditional knowledge base in India, it is critical to note the history of bio-piracy in India. India’s fight against bio-piracy began in the mid-90s, ironically, at the time that the country signed onto product patent of TRIPS. The first case of bio-piracy was that of turmeric. Turmeric (Curcuma longa) has antibiotic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and coagulant properties. In 1995, two patents were filed by University of Mississippi Medical Center and one by Northwestern Flavors Inc. and Northwestern Chemical Company. The Indian body Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) successfully appealed the decision to the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) and got the patents revoked.

Beyond turmeric, there were several prominent bio-piracy cases, all of which did not get complete resolution. First in 1997, US company Rice Tec Inc. cross-bred 22 traditional varieties of rice from India and Pakistan. The company had 20 claims in its patent based on its novel rice lines developed from rice germplasm as well as those based on traditional farmer bred varieties. Most importantly, the company hijacked the traditional name of ‘Basmati’ for its new rice germplasm. After complaint from the Indian government, in 2001 the USPTO only allowed 5 claims and denied the usage of the term Basmati.

Three fights on bio-piracy are still ongoing. First is the claims made by Pioneer Hi-bred/DuPont International Inc. over mustard and ‘Nap Hal’ wheat variety by the Monsanto Seed Company. Second, in February 2011, famous Indian activist Vandana Shiva, her NGO Navdanya and the European NGO-platform ‘No Patent on Seeds’ jointly filed an opposition against European patent granted to Monsanto on melon that originated in India and was already registered in international seed banks (Shiva, 2011, 2012). Third, a significant fight has led to filing of charges against Monsanto over its Bt eggplant. In 2012, the Environment Support Group filed a lawsuit against St. Louis based Monsanto. The main charge is that Monsanto had not gotten permission to use the natural indigenous varieties of eggplant before modifying them for its Bt. version.

All the above mentioned facts point to the inability of the best attempts of CSR to act as a checkpoint against misuse of corporate power and the need for state monitoring and regulation. The flow of our argument is depicted in Appendix 2.

6. GOVERNMENT REPRESSION AND COUNTER-MOVEMENTS

Corporations are not the only entity acting as barriers to cultivation of tribal knowledge for benefits of the tribal people. The GOI under incentives of the knowledge economy has been denying access to forests and land to the tribal people for purposes of conservation; to develop healing plants for purposes of trade; develop standardized patents through research of healing plants; and to develop lands for commercial purposes in cahoots with major corporations. Some examples of such public policy stance are listed below.
India’s medicinal plants are under threat of becoming extinct due to indiscriminate wild collection by pharmaceutical companies (Ramakrishnappa & Krell, 2002; Toda et al., 2016). According to Zoramsangi (2010), 90% of India’s medicinal plants that are consumed and exported are found in forest habitats. 90% of India’s medicinal plants supply to international market is from wild stock, leading to serious depletion (Ganesan & Bhatt, 2008). In India, 960 medicinal plants are reported to be in trade, out of which 335 wild medicinal plants have already been assessed to be threatened or near threatened (such as the Podophyllum Hexandrum which is used for chemotherapy) in one or more of 17 states in the country; 178 red-listed threatened species are still being traded in high volume; and some species are traded despite being on the GOI’s negative list of exports and on the list of Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (Baig, 2016; Ved & Goraya, 2011). Hence, forest conservation is critical. What is also needed is regulation of pharmaceutical company activities. But given state retrenchment this has not occurred.

Given the threat of extinction, the GOI has been focusing heavily on conserving and propagating improved stock of medicinal plants. So far 20,000 medicinal plants have been recorded. The GOI with the help of international organizations such as the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, and World Wide Fund, has established national parks, wildlife reserves and botanic gardens to ensure in situ conservation of wild life and plants. It has also used biotechnology to bio-prospect for genetic materials and conserve the material ex situ using tissue culture, in vitro propagation methods, protoplast fusion, embryo transfer, cryopreservation, and gene banks. These actions have helped identify medicinal plants of economic value and through domestication have helped to obtain uniform and high quality materials for export (Joshi et al., 2010).

Conservation of medicinal plants is highly centralized in India with the GOI controlling access to forests. The GOI has set up National and 35 State Medicinal Plant Boards to conserve the medicinal plants. Between the periods of 2001-2006, the GOI has sanctioned 4,254 projects under two major schemes, contractual and promotional farming. In promotional category projects include cultivation and establishment of herbal gardens; in situ conservation; raising of quality planting material; research and development including biotechnology or tissue-culture, genetic, molecular and chemical compound studies, and pathological studies; dissemination of information; education and communication; marketing and value-addition (Kala, 2017; Kala & Sajwan, 2007; Rathi et al., 2015).

The thrust of GOI’s conservation is more about commercial exploitation of medicinal plants, rather than delivering the fruits of such conservation as medicines for ameliorating the health conditions of the poor. Preservation of India’s 19.39% of forest area is critical. However, as Vasisht & Karan (2003) point out, this preservation has to go beyond preserving germplasms in gene banks, investigation in pharmacology and biotechnology through the CSIR and regional laboratories. The GOI needs to allow the tribal people, who have the best knowledge for conservation, to conserve medicinal plants through proper ownership of forests. However, given the mainstream view that their knowledge is not ‘scientific’ such steps fail to occur.

A key problem with GOI’s conservation is the method it uses under the rules of the regime Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+). This is done through creation of commercial monocultures on forest lands. Commercial monocultures are plantations of high-value
single species of trees whose timber is used for trading. All such trading comes at the price of tribal people losing access to their ancestral lands (Datta, 2016; Jha, 2018). The famous eco-feminist Vandana Shiva is a strong critic of the GOI’s adherence to neoliberal policies of REDD+. She opposes the privatization and commodification of nature through conversion of forests into carbon markets as it leads to loss of access to forests for tribal people and to degradation of biodiversity of forests. The focus only on carbon sequestration, or only biomass production, as part of the project of REDD+, reduces the rich diverse forest eco-systems as they get replaced with commercial monocultures. Monocultures reduce the rich biodiversity that provides for a variety of medicinal plants (Lang, 2010).

Now let’s look at the issue of land grabs for commercial exploitation. Despite GOI efforts, instead of forest land preservation, forests are still being depleted and land access for tribal people is being denuded through land grabs. Typically land is grabbed in the name of national interests. Such national interests are, for example, vested in issues of raising plantation for timber-logging and bio-diesel/bio-fuel (Kher, 2005; Mishra, 2017a, 2017b); conservation efforts to create carbon-sink projects in tune with the demands of the international regime REDD+; creation of Special Economic Zones (SEZ) for industrial development (Kaleji et al., 2017; Oza, 2010); development of nuclear projects for electricity generation; building of dams for generation of electricity; increasing agricultural land under cultivation; mining, tourism; and so on. According to Walker (2008), more than 500,000 hectares of forestland was seized for development projects, between 2001 and 2006 more than the previous 20 years aggregated together (Ahasan & Gardner, 2016; Gardner & Gerharz, 2016).

The loss of access to forests due to land grabs impacts tribal people severely by limiting health welfare and sustainable livelihood. Often such livelihood access is restricted by three factors. First, tribal people are deprived of legal as well as traditional, customary land rights by restrictive forest access. The professed aim of technocrats in undertaking such activities is ‘scientific’ modes of conservation and proper ‘productive’ usage of forest-land (Poddar et al., 2011; Tayal, 2015). Second, there is often arbitrary and non-democratic or highly partisan interest-oriented state-regulations on land use (Kennedy, 2015; Kennedy & King, 2011; Stern & Coleman, 2015). This tends to be a reflection of the elite capture of state resources, governance structures and development processes. Third, the occurrence of forcible state acquisitions of land and violent evictions of traditional dwellers from the ‘occupied’ land in the name of national interest (Ramdas & Ghotge, 2007; Raza et al., 2016). This prevents tribal people from harvesting non-timber forest products for food and medicinal purposes.

Forest laws in India have typically been repressive (Poddar et al., 2011). But the GOI faces strong push-back from the civil society. The push-back is both peaceful and violent. The tribal protest against loss of access to forests has often taken violent form as can be seen in the Maoist movements. Land grabs provided the spark for widespread Maoist activities, covering 600 districts or 40% of the geographical area of India in 2007. This is because peaceful protests under the banner of grass-root organization the National Alliance of People’s Movements have failed to stop state violence (Walker, 2008). In the presence of extremism, knowledge dwindles. This is contra knowledge-economy prediction that knowledge is renewable and sustainable.
Under above mentioned conditions, knowledge flow among tribal folk and their networks get disrupted and innovation and conservation is put on the back-burner. In fact, violence and poverty bring about extreme crisis of health among the tribes.

7. HEALTH WELFARE OF TRIBES IN MAHARASHTRA

Maharashtra has the second largest concentration of poor ST population. But it is also considered a fast-growing high income state with a per capita income per annum of Rs. 32,170 (Khandare et al., 2008; Nerkar et al., 2015). According to Pitre et al. (2009), from an average 5% per year growth from 1993-2001, growth increased to 7.8% in 2002-2007. But despite growth, the STs have miserable health equity because of poverty. It is important to note that health is a state subject (Shrivastava et al., 2013, 2015a, 2015b) and hence, what happens in the state is significantly attributable to the state’s actions. Currently, Maharashtra government spends only 0.48% of GDP on health. In 1996, Maharashtra’s health expenditure as a percentage of total expenditure was 4.56%, but by 2005 it had declined to 3.51% (Jain, 2010).

To understand the situation of the STs, it is important to track some statistics. According to www.nird.org.in, in Maharashtra the poverty levels of STs actually rose between 1993-94 and 2004-05 from 50.38% to 56.6% while the rates for all groups dropped. Hence it is not surprising that across Maharashtra compared to other oppressed groups, STs have high incidences of severe stunting, stunting, severe wasting, wasting, severe underweight, and underweight problems. For example, the respective figures for Scheduled Castes (SCs) for the mentioned incidences for under-5 children are 23.4%, 55.2%, 6.6%, 20.2%, 13.5% and 41.7%. In comparison, the corresponding figures for STs are 30%, 57.8%, 5.6%, 18.9%, 21.2% and 53.2%, respectively (Pitre et al., 2009). According to Dholakia & Khurana (1983), starvation is widespread across India, but as we point out STs suffer the worst lot.

In Maharashtra among tribal population, infant mortality rate is 59%, crude death rate is 7.9%, maternal mortality rate is 2%, low-birth weight babies is 28%, family size is 3.8% and delivery by trained birth assistants instead of doctors is 86% (Kate, 2000). Kate (2000) also points out that deficiency of essential components in tribal diet leads to micronutrient deficiencies and protein calorie malnutrition. Goiter is endemic in some of the tribal areas due to such diet deficiencies. Water borne and communicable diseases, such as dysentery and parasitic infections are very common among the tribal people. This in turn leads to morbidity and malnutrition. Tuberculosis and malaria are major problems in many tribal areas. There is a high prevalence of genetic disorders like sickle cell anemia and thalassemia.

According to www.malariasite.com, malaria is endemic among the tribal population despite tribals having knowledge about cure for malaria. According to the site, side effects of urbanization and industrialization, especially water development projects have created for extensive malaria vector breeding sites. The malaria vector has predominantly become the P. falciparum vector which is resistant to insecticides and anti-malarial drugs. According to the site, at the all-India level, 90% of malaria deaths are in rural areas and 86% occurred at home without medical attention. This can be attributed to lack of affordability of high-priced synthetic drugs due to standardized patents and the lack of access to non-traditional knowledge drugs due to lack of access to forests and lack of customized patents.
Beyond broad-scale statistics it is important to track micro-studies to understand the tribal situation. Vlasoff et al. (2013) argued India’s tribal population is at considerable risk of sexually transmitted diseases, particularly HIV/AIDS due to their relatively open sexual mores compared to traditional Indian society. The authors conducted a study of tribal and other rural people in the Satara district of Maharashtra. Compared to the all-Maharashtra infection rate of 0.5%, this district had a very high incidence of 2% HIV positive women. Tribal people were found to have much less knowledge about HIV than rural respondents so that they had high stigma against people with the disease, particularly against women. The authors conclude that fear and denial in the tribal communities and an increase in high-risk behavior indicate that tribal communities are in early phase of an HIV epidemic. STs are also an emergent high-risk group because of displacement and migration into mainstream society for employment opportunities (Das & Kumar, 2016; Nanjunda & Dinesha, 2011). Despite HIV/AIDS being a major epidemic in India, the GOI refuses to acknowledge it as an epidemic. This means that it fails to evoke the TRIPS flexibility clause whereby a government can declare national emergency and procure drugs at drastically reduced prices to treat the epidemic (Löfgren, 2017; Sell, 2007). Corporate pressure against breaking of patents prevents the government from taking active intervention.

An NGO that works with rural and tribal health in Maharashtra is the Comprehensive Rural Health Project (CRHP). CRHP Started in 1990 with community-based program in the village of Jamkhed in the Bhandardara district to tackle tribal health problems. Given its success in this venture, CRHP was approached by the Maharashtra state government in 2004 to develop similar programs in seven other tribal districts. Their main objective has been to promote holistic health through both preventive (health education) and curative approaches especially for women and children. For complicated diseases such as TB, leprosy and HIV/AIDS that require in-patient hospital treatment, the local health workers of CRHP refer to the government Primary Health Care centers and hospitals. But trained health workers can take care of basic issues such as child health, nutrition, safe deliveries and hygiene. In trying to achieve such activities CRHP has also focused on creating self-help women’s groups (“What is CRHP?”, 2014).

NGOs working with government structures have made some changes. For example, MAHAN conducted a study in the Melghat, Thane region of Maharashtra and found 50% children were underweight. Under its recommendation and directive by the state High Court, the government now provides special facilities such as ‘Village Child Development Center’ to serve the underweight with appropriate diet intervention, instead of merely hospitalizing them. MAHAN also brought about changes in antibiotic use of ASHA workers. The workers were not advised to give antibiotics to children in the villages. Under MAHAN’s recommendation, the state government has now approved the government workers’ usage of drugs like Hematoxylin for children suffering from pneumonia and neonatal sepsis. This has helped to reduce child mortality ("Policy changes due to MAHAN", n.d.). Despite NGO help, the overall figures stated above, shows that the tribal condition is still very dire. NGO activism is significantly restricted in India and this prevents more widespread intervention.

8. CONCLUSION

Knowledge economy advocates propound that there will inevitably be winners and losers of reform and efficiency. But what its proponents fail to predict is that losers emerge even when they have
valuable assets to compete in a free-market economy due to the power structure of society. The tribal population in India, despite being the poorest and marginalized, has rich repositories of knowledge. If IPR is customized for such populations, they can enjoy the benefits of free-market economy and be actively involved in sustainable conservation. But to do so, there is a requirement of government intervention. Market through CSR has failed to deliver the benefits of such knowledge and protect the tribal population through provision of healthcare services. Market failure means that the government has to actively step in and protect the tribal people from having their right to life being decimated. It needs to actively redistribute land to tribal people to enable self-empowerment through innovation and self-provision of healthcare and provide them with public healthcare services. It also needs to reverse the historically rooted oppression and exploitation of tribal society by the political and economic interests. Unlike neo-liberals, liberals like Rawls prescribed significant state enforcement for ensuring justice (Abbey & Spinner-Halev, 2013; Goncalves, 2017) – active intervention is needed to balance growth with equity. Growth does not automatically lead to equity.
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