SHORT COMMUNICATION

Antifungal Properties of Selected Medicinal Plant Species Against *Fusarium* spp. – A Preliminary Study

IZZA NADIRA ABU BAKAR, ABDUL RAFFAR ABDUL RAZAK, MUHAMMAD NUR HAKIM ZULKIFLE, NUR ALIAH ROSLI & YEO FREDDY KUOK SAN*

> Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia *Corresponding author: yksfreddy@unimas.my

Received: 12 November 2018 Accepted: 30 November 2018

18 Published: 30 December 2018

ABSTRACT

Usage of synthetic fungicides has inevitably been one of the agricultural practices in combating crop pathogens and maintaining the quality of production. Although fungicides have been proven to be profoundly effective, excessive and frequent reliance on these synthetic fungicides have caused negative impacts to the environment and human health. Besides that, indiscriminate use of fungicides may lead to the development of resistant strains of pathogenic fungi. The need to find an alternative solution to synthetic fungicides has led to the interest in finding plant-based fungicides. This study aimed to test the antifungal properties of plant extracts from 13 different medicinal plant species towards plant pathogenic fungi. Absolute methanol was used as a solvent to extract the secondary metabolites from the different plant species. The effect of methanolic crude extract at different concentrations (500 μ g/ml, 250 μ g/ml and 100 μ g/ml), from different medicinal plant species, were tested on the growth of two *Fusarium* spp., *Fs*B and *Fs*P. The assay showed that the methanolic crude extract from six plant species *viz. Alpinia galanga, Annona muricata, Archidendron jiringa, Nephelium lappaceum, Polygonum minus* and *Artocarpus* hybrid (Nanchem) had successfully inhibit the radial mycelial growth of either *Fs*B or *Fs*P, or both. The assay suggested that the six plant species have antifungal properties towards the crop pathogenic fungi tested.

Keywords: antimicrobial, Fusarium, plant extracts, methanolic extracts, biofungicides

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-SA (Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, for non-commercial purposes, provided the original work of the author(s) is properly cited.

Combating plant pathogens has always been a constant struggle for farmers to obtain high yield with good quality produce. Agricultural practices such as application of synthetic fungicides are commonly used to reduce diseases (Brent & Holloman, 2007). However, the excessive usage and frequent reliance of synthetic fungicides, have led to several other problems such as toxicity towards the environment due to the residues of synthetic fungicides (Wightwick al.. et 2010). threatening the functionality of human reproductive system by the active compounds (Peraica et al., 1999; Hossain et al., 2010) and emergence of resistant strains. These may cause more severe diseases and outbreaks (Francis & Keinath, 2010). Hence, there is a need to produce a safer and biodegradable fungicides derived from natural resources (Brito-Argaez et al., 2009).

Plant-based compounds are one of the potential resources for production of safer and biodegradable fungicides. Secondary from plants such as alkaloids, metabolites terpenoids. cyanogenic, glucosides, and phenolics are groups of compounds known to be involved in plant defence mechanisms (Bennett & Wallsgrove, 1994; Bravo, 1998; Balasundram et al., 2006). These compounds are the possible candidates for developing biofungicides. For instance. phenolic compounds such as luteolin-7-glucoside, oleuropein, rutin, and tyrosol in olive plants were reported to possess antimicrobial effect against selected pathogens (Báidez et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2007). The present study aimed to test the antifungal properties of methanolic crude extracts from 13 plant species against spp., a common plant two Fusarium pathogenic fungus. Table 1 shows the list of

Plant parts	Plant species	Common name
Leaves	Annona muricata (L.)	Durian Belanda
	Archidendron jiringa (Jack)	Jering
	Artocarpus sp. hybrid (Nanchem)	Cempedak
	Centella asiatica (L.)	Pegaga
	Cymbopogon citratus (D.C.) Stapf	Serai
	Manihot esculenta (Crantz)	Ubi kayu
	Mentha piperita (L.)	Pudina
	Nephelium lappaceum (L.)	Rambutan
	Oenanthe javanica (Blume)	Selom
	Polygonum minus (Huds)	Kesum
	Piper betle (L.)	Sireh
Rhizome	Alpinia galanga (L.) Willd.	Lengkuas
	Zingiber officinale Rosc.	Halia

Table 1. The plant parts used for extraction in this study for the 13 medicinal plant species.

plant species and the plant parts used in this study.

Fresh and disease-free leaves from 11 medicinal plant species were collected from different residential areas or were bought from Medan Niaga Satok, Sarawak. The leaves were rinsed with tap water to remove contaminants. The leaves were patted dry and air dried for seven days without direct sunlight, at room temperature. Fresh and disease-free rhizomes from the other two medicinal plant species (*Alpinia galanga* and *Zingiber officinale*) were also rinsed with tap water and patted dry. They were then cut into smaller pieces and air dried for two weeks without direct sunlight at room temperature.

The air-dried samples were homogenized into powder form using a blender. A total of 150 grams of the powdered samples were soaked in pure methanol and shaken overnight at room temperature. The extracts were filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 1 the following day. The methanolic extract from each plant species was then concentrated using a rotary evaporator. The water bath of rotary evaporator was set at $45^{\circ}C \pm 2.0$. The concentrated crude extract was weighed and the yield of crude extract was calculated. In average, the yield of methanolic crude extract from the 13 medicinal plant species was between 4 - 14%.

The antifungal assay was adapted and modified from CSLI (2012). Concentrated crude extract was dissolved with Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and filter sterilized using a micro filter (0.22 μ m). Media was prepared by mixing equal volume of methanol crude extract (from different stock concentrations) into PDA (Sigma-Aldrich) to obtain final concentrations of 500 μ g/ml, 250 μ g/ml, and 100 μ g/ml. Control was prepared by mixing DMSO (same volume as the crude extract) into PDA. The concentration of DMSO in the media was kept at 1%.

Two fungal species were selected for this study, namely *Fusarium* sp. isolated from banana (*FsB*) and *Fusarium* sp. isolated from black pepper (*FsP*). The pathogenic fungi were provided by Semongok, Agriculture Research Centre (ARC). Plugs of fungi (6 mm \emptyset) used in the antifungal assay were obtained from pure cultures of six to seven days old. The antifungal assay had ten replicates per treatment for each plant-fungal isolate pair. All plates were incubated at room temperature. The radial mycelial growth of the fungus was scored daily by measuring the diameter of the mycelia at

the back of Petri dish. The average fungal growth rate was calculated. One-way ANOVA was used to analyse the data. Methanolic crude extract from six plant species showed significant effects on the growth rate of *Fusarium* spp. tested. Methanolic crude extract of *Annona muricata* (L.), *Alpinia galanga* (L.) Willd., and *Nephelium lappaceum* (L.) retarded the growth of both

FsB and *FsP*, whereas methanolic crude extract from *Archidendron jiringa* (Jack), *Artocarpus* sp. hybrid (Nanchem), and *Polygonum minus* (Huds) had slowed down the growth of either *FsB* or *FsP*, while the methanolic crude extract of the other medicinal plant species had no effect towards the growth rate of *Fusarium* spp. tested (Table 2).

Table 2. Average growth rate (cm/day) of the two *Fusarium* spp. on different concentrations of methanol crude extract from the 13 plant species.

			Growth rate (cm/day)*	
Plant species	Fungal isolate	Control	100 µg/ml	250 µg/ml	500 µg/ml
A. galanga	FsB	0.36ª	0.37ª	0.32 ^b	0.28 ^c
	FsP	0.48^{a}	0.46^{a}	0.45 ^a	0.40 ^b
A. muricata	FsB	0.70^{a}	0.66ª	0.65 ^b	0.68 ^c
	FsP	0.82ª	0.73 ^b	0.71°	0.68 ^d
A. jiringa	FsB	0.64 ^a	0.69 ^a	0.66 ^a	0.59 ^a
	FsP	0.74 ^a	0.75 ^b	0.76 ^c	0.66 ^d
Artocarpus sp.	FsB	0.61 ^a	0.60^{a}	0.59 ^a	0.55 ^b
hybrid (Nanchem)	FsP	0.84 ^a	0.89 ^a	0.85 ^a	0.82^{a}
C. asiatica	FsB	0.40^{a}	0.40^{a}	0.40 ^a	0.39ª
	FsP	0.33ª	0.37 ^a	0.37 ^a	0.41 ^a
C. citratus	FsB	0.77 ^a	0.87^{a}	0.79 ^a	0.79 ^a
	FsP	1.14 ^a	1.06 ^a	1.10 ^a	1.13 ^a
M. esculenta	FsB	0.94 ^a	1.06 ^a	1.09 ^a	0.92 ^a
	FsP	2.06 ^a	2.23 ^a	2.28 ^a	2.12 ^a
M. piperita	FsB	0.73 ^a	0.75 ^a	0.78 ^a	0.77 ^a
	FsP	1.45 ^a	1.50 ^a	1.60 ^a	1.61 ^a
N. lappaceum	FsB	0.42 ^a	0.38ª	0.34 ^b	0.32 ^c
	FsP	0.45 ^a	0.43 ^b	0.40 ^c	0.37 ^d
O. javanica	FsB	0.80^{a}	0.98 ^a	0.94 ^a	0.87^{a}
	FsP	0.66^{a}	0.89 ^a	0.87^{a}	0.83 ^a
P. minus	FsB	0.38 ^a	0.39ª	0.48 ^a	0.39 ^a
	FsP	0.39ª	0.41 ^a	0.39ª	0.35 ^b
P. betle	FsB	0.60 ^a	0.55 ^a	0.61 ^a	0.59ª
	FsP	0.87 ^a	0.89ª	1.09 ^a	0.85 ^a
Z. officinale	FsB	0.75 ^a	0.71 ^a	0.73 ^a	0.78^{a}
	FsP	0.74 ^a	0.93 ^a	0.69ª	0.67 ^a

*Different letters indicate significant difference of fungal growth rate on media infused with different concentrations of crude extract (p<0.05).

In general, the methanolic crude extract from the six plant species managed to retard the fungal growth by a delay of one to three days to reach full plate ($8 \text{ cm } \emptyset$) as compared to the corresponding control plates (Table 3). The inhibition percentage of the fungal growth by the different concentrations of methanolic crude extract were small for both *Fusarium* spp. and negligible, therefore it is not presented.

Table 3. The significant fungal growth delay on the plates containing methanolic crude extract from the six
medicinal plant species having antifungal properties.

Plant species	Fungal isolates	Effective concentrations	Number of days
A. galanga	FsB	500 µg/ml	2-3 days
		$250 \ \mu g/ml$	1 day
	FsP	$500 \ \mu g/ml$	2-3 days
A. muricata	FsB	$250 \ \mu g/ml$	1 day
	FsP	ALL	1 day
A. jiringa	FsP	$500 \ \mu g/ml$	1 day
N. lappaceum	FsB	$500 \ \mu g/ml$	2-3 days
		$250 \ \mu g/ml$	2-3 days
	FsP	$500 \ \mu g/ml$	2-3 days
		$250 \ \mu g/ml$	2-3 days
		$100 \ \mu g/ml$	1 day
P. minus	FsP	$500 \ \mu g/ml$	1 day
Artocarpus sp. hybrid (Nanchem)	FsB	500 µg/ml	1 day

Although the inhibition effect may be negligible, the success of retarding the fungal growth is an indication for the presence of antifungal compounds in the plant methanolic crude extract against *Fs*B and *Fs*P. Previous phytochemical studies on methanolic extracts of *A. galanga, A. muricata, A. jiringa, N. lappaceum*, and *P. minus* reported potential compounds in the plant extract that possess antimicrobial properties in the respective studies (Table 4).

There is a possibility that the compounds reported (Table 4) is also present in the crude extract of the current study. Against FsB and FsP, these compounds may not have strong effect but only manage to slow down the fungal growth. So far, there is no phytochemical studies on Nanchem. It is possible that the methanolic crude extract of Nanchem contain polar compounds (Cowan, 1999) with antifungal properties.

Plant species	Classes of compounds	References
A. galanga	Phenols Flavonoids ie. 1'- Acetoxychavicol acetate (ACA) and galangin	Seo <i>et al.</i> (2013) Nuttaporn (2007)
A. muricata	Alkaloids Flavonoids Tannins Terpenoids Polyphenols	Chauhan & Mittu (2015) George <i>et al.</i> (2015)
A. jiringa	Terpenoids Tannins Polyphenols	Cowan (1999)
N. lappaceum	Phenols	Thitilertdecha et al. (2008)
P. minus	Phenols Flavonoids	Qader <i>et al.</i> (2012)
<i>rtocarpus</i> sp. hybrid (Nanchem)	N/A	-

Table 4. Class of compounds detected in the methanolic extract of the six medicinal plant species having antifungal properties identified.

To conclude, this preliminary study showed that six of the 13 medicinal plant species used in this study *viz. A. muricata, A. jiringa, A. galanga,* Nanchem, *N. lappaceum* and *P. minus,* have antifungal property against *FsB* and *FsP.* The methanolic crude extract, at high concentration, managed to retard the fungal growth. The inhibition percentage was, however, negligible. Future studies can be conducted by using different parts of the plant species to screen for antifungal properties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Tun Zaidi Chair (Grant number: F07/TZC/1593/2017). The authors would like to acknowledge Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, UNIMAS for the facilities provided. Also, a special thanks to the Agriculture Research Centre, Semongok for providing the stock cultures of pathogens used in this study.

REFERENCES

- Báidez, A.G., Gómez, P., Del Río, J.A. & Ortuño, A. (2007). Dysfunctionality of the xylem in *Olea europaea* L. plants associated with the infection process by *Verticillium dahliae* Kleb. Role of phenolic compounds in plant defense mechanism. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(9): 3373-3377.
- Balasundram, N., Sundram, K. & Samman, S. (2006). Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: Antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. *Food Chemistry*, 99(1): 191-203.
- Bennett, R.N. & Wallsgrove, R.M. (1994). Secondary metabolites in plant defence mechanisms. *New Phytologist*, 127(4): 617-633.
- Bravo, L. (1998). Polyphenols: chemistry, dietary sources, metabolism, and nutritional significance. *Nutrition Reviews*, 56(11): 317 -333.

- Brent, K.J. & Holloman, D.W. (2007). *Fungicide resistance in crop pathogens: How can it be managed?* Fungicide Resistance Action Committee, Monograph 1, Global Crop Protection Federation.
- Brito-Argáez, L., Moguel-Salazar, F., Zamudio, F., González-Estrada, T. & Islas-Flores, I. (2009). Characterization of a *Capsicum chinense* seed peptide fraction with broad antibacterial activity. *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, 4(3): 77-87.
- Chauhan, A. & Mittu, B. (2015). Phytochemical screening and anti listerial activity of Annona Muricata (L) leaf extract. *Journal of Chromatography & Separation Techniques*, 6(3): 269.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2012). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; Approved standard – Eleventh edition. CLSI document M02-A11. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Cowan, M.M. (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 12(4): 564-582.
- Francis, R. & Keinath, A. (2010). Biofungicides and Chemicals for Managing Diseases *In* Organic Vegetable Production. CLEMSON Cooperative Extencion Information Leaflet. Pp. 88.
- George, V.C., Kumar, D.N., Suresh, P.K. & Kumar, R.A. (2015). Antioxidant, DNA protective efficacy and HPLC analysis of Annona muricata (soursop) extracts. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(4): 2328-2335.
- Hossain, F., Ali, O., D'Souza, U.J. & Naing, D.K.S. (2010). Effects of pesticide use on semen quality among farmers in rural areas of Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 52(6): 353-360.

- Nuttaporn, S. (2007). Isolation and identification of galangin and other compounds from Alpinia galanga linnaeus willd and Alpinia officinarum hance (MSc thesis), Suranaree University of Technology.
- Peraica, M., Radic, B., Lucic, A. & Pavlovic, M. (1999). Toxic effects of mycotoxins in humans. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 77(9): 754-766.
- Pereira, A.P., Ferreira, I.C., Marcelino, F., Valentão, P., Andrade, P.B., Seabra, R., Estevinho, L., Bento, A. & Pereira, J.A. (2007). Phenolic compounds and antimicrobial activity of olive (*Olea europaea* L. Cv. Cobrançosa) leaves. *Molecules*, 12(5): 1153-1162.
- Qader, S.W., Abdulla, M.A., Chua, L.S. & Hamdan, S. (2012). Potential bioactive property of *Polygonum minus* Huds (kesum) review. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 7(2): 90-93.
- Seo, J.W., Cho, S.C., Park, S.J., Lee, E.J., Lee, J.H., Han, S.S., Pyo, B.S., Park, D.H. & Kim, B.H. (2013). 1'-Acetoxychavicol acetate isolated from *Alpinia galangal* ameliorates ovalbumin-induced asthma in mice. *PLoS One*, 8(2): e56447.
- Thitilertdecha, N., Teerawutgulrag, A. & Rakariyatham, N. (2008). Antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Nephelium lappaceum* L. extracts. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 41(10): 2029-2035.
- Wightwick, A., Walters, R., Allinson, G., Reichman, S. & Menzies, N. (2010). Environmental risks of fungicides used in horticultural production systems. *In* Carisse O. (Ed), *Fungicides*, InTech. Pp. 273-304.