Notes on Advertisement Calls Playback by Three Species of Sarawakian Frogs
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ABSTRACT

Male and female frogs respond differently towards advertisement calls. The fittest call will be chosen by the conspecific female to produce progenies, means that call from male to female is to ensure the survival of the species. The objective is to observe the response of both male and female frogs by playing the advertisement call to another male or female of the same species at their breeding site. The advertisement calls were recorded manually and were replayed using a speaker with built-in amplifier. The frog’s responses were then recorded in video form. Ambient temperatures were taken using data logger. The calls were analyzed to describe call characteristics. From the acoustic playback, both male and female Pulchrana glandulosa responded towards the calls. The male produces a crying-like sound while approaching playback source meanwhile the female produces a small “wik” sound. Male Pulchrana baramica responded by straining their calls and approaches the playback source. For male Kurixalus appendiculatus, the individuals responded by moving towards the sound source without calling. Results show that male of different species and male and female of the same species react differently towards playback calls. This shows that the breeding call recorded can be recognized by other frogs when they were played on amplifier.
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INTRODUCTION

Advertisement calls are necessary for males and females of the same species to indicate it is time to sexually reproduce. If the call from male frogs is not recognized by female frogs, then no breeding will occur, and the species are reproductively isolated from each other. Male and female frogs respond differently towards advertisement calls. This is because the call from male to female is to ensure the survival of the species, as only the fittest will be chosen by the conspecific female to produce progenies (Zainudin et al., 2009; Zainudin et al., 2010; Amram et al., 2018). For frogs that call in choruses, the sounds of a chorus could also act as an acoustic beacon for some frogs to locate the breeding aggregation (Bee, 2007). The calls contain unique acoustic characters that allow them to communicate for reproductive receptiveness (Preininger et al., 2013; Reichert, 2013; Lima et al., 2014; Santana et al., 2016).

However, not much is known on how well they respond towards playback recording. Playbacks of audio stimuli to wild animals are a widely used experimental tool in behavioral ecology. However, most of playback experiments are constrained by observer limitations such as the time observers can be present, or the accuracy of observation (Lendvai et al., 2015). These problems are more apparent when playbacks are targeted towards specific purposes, like towards specific individuals to illicit their response. Previous field playback studies have shown that other than for mating, vocalizations also mediate species recognition among male frogs (Amézquita et al., 2005). They play an important role in sexual selection by male–male competition, like to assess opponent’s resource holding potential (Bee et al., 1999; Bee et al., 2000), to mediate intermale spacing (Marshall et al., 2003), and for recognition of territorial neighbour (Bee & Gerhardt, 2002). The calls playback was used in this study to observe the response of both male and
female frogs by playing the advertisement calls of the species at their breeding site. It is also to see whether the recorded calls are good enough to be used in playback recording.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Studied Species**

Three species has been recorded for these studies, namely *Pulchrana baramica*, *Pulchrana glandulosa* and *Kurixalus appendiculatus*. *Pulchrana baramica* is a small to medium-sized frog that inhabits peat swamp forest (Inger & Stuebing, 2005). *Pulchrana glandulosa* is similar to *P. baramica*, where they shared similar habitats. *Pulchrana glandulosa* is larger, with more robust appearance, and have round bumps on the flank (Inger & Stuebing, 2005). Another species, *K. appendiculatus* is a small tree frog that lives in swampy area and in well-drained forest. During the sampling period, all three species can be heard calling and some can be found in amplexus. During those periods, nuptial pad can be observed on the ventral part of the shoulders of male *P. glandulosa*. These three species were selected for this study because they shared similar habitats, and the calls of all three species can be heard at the same time. All three species were also not overly sensitive to light, where they keep calling even when the flashlights were shone on them.

**Sampling Method**

The study was conducted on the 5th to 21st September 2015 for acoustic recording session, and 25th to 29th August 2016 and 11th to 17th October 2017 for playback recording session. The study was conducted at Nightwalk Trail, Gunung Mulu National Park (N 04°03.021’ E 114°51.399”) in Malaysian Borneo. The voice recordings were done using TASCAM DR-40 Linear PCM Recorder with sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and bit depth of 16-bit, and recorded in stereo. The recording distance was from 30 cm to 3 m. The advertisement calls were recorded in wave format. Call playback were done using speaker with built-in amplifier with frequency respond of 100 Hz-10 kHz and cut-off at ±3dB. The videos were taken using Sony DCR-SR68E Camcorder. Ambient temperature and humidity data were taken and measured using HOBO Pro V2 data logger. The recorded sounds were analyzed using Soundruler software (Grid-Papp, 2003-2007) and Praat software.

Upon encounter with targeted frogs, playbacks of calls according to respective species were played using a speaker with USB drive containing the playbacks attached to it close to the frogs with distance from 1 to 3 m, 3 to 5 m and more than 5 m, and the responses of the frogs were observed. The playback was played for 5 to 10 minutes, depending on the reactions of the frogs. The playback was played up to 10 minutes if the targeted frogs made any responds and maximum of 5 minutes if the frogs did not make any movement. Any call produced by responding frogs were recorded using a digital recorder. The actions and movements of responsive frogs were filmed using a camcorder. The frogs were caught to obtain their measurements and weight and to determine their sex. Then the frogs were released at the exact place where they were caught. The non-responsive individuals were also caught but not measured and weighted, to minimise the contact with the frog. All captured frogs have their belly inspected for egg in female and the absence/presence of nuptial pad in male.

**RESULTS**

Ambient temperature recorded at night ranges from 24.4 to 25.3 °C. Results showed that both male and female of frog species react differently towards playback calls. Responses towards the playback recording in selected frog species are as the following:

**Acoustic Playback of *P. glandulosa* (Rough-sided Frog)**

During acoustic playback, both male (*n* = 10) and female (*n* = 6) *P. glandulosa* responded towards the calls. All male *P. glandulosa* in the radius of 5 m responded towards the playback call without playing the calls directly at them. Figure 1 shows the oscillogram and spectrogram of one note of normal *P. glandulosa* calls that was used for playback recording. The frequency spectrogram reveals that the notes contain an upward frequency modulation, with 8 harmonics visible. The 2nd harmonics is the dominant frequency, with frequency of 1.4 kHz. All male *P. glandulosa* frogs that were encountered had responded to the calls by producing crying-like sound “Wraa” of a single pulse (Figure 2), with two note repetitions every 60 seconds. The frequency spectrogram reveals that there are no frequency modulations, with 6 harmonics visible. The second harmonics is the dominant frequency, with frequency of 1.3 kHz. However, it was 3rd harmonic that have longer durations.
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Figure 1. The (a) oscillogram and (b) spectrogram of male *P. glandulosa* call

Figure 2. The (a) oscillogram and (b) spectrogram of male *P. glandulosa* respond call towards playback call. The insect sound is as circled.

Eight out of ten male individuals tried to approach the source of the call. However, all of them stopped moving around 15-30 cm from the playback sources. Some of them even circled around the playback source, as if to determine what makes the advertisement calls (Figure 3). The eight males that approached the speaker were located around 1 to 3 m from the speaker during the playback, and the other two are from 3 to 5 m from the playback source. Male *P. glandulosa* frogs that are quite distant (>5 m) from the playback source were also found to respond by producing the same call at the same time as the playback. Both normal playback calls and responds calls is moderate-low pitched of nearly 2 kHz. The responsive males were also observed to have enlarged nuptial pad underneath their forearm (Figure 4). During the experiment, there are no simultaneous calling from other nearby male. However, the calls can be heard from afar.
Figure 3. Cropped photo from video shows responsive male *P. glandulosa* (a) started approaching from the front (circled), and (b) stop moving around 15 cm and circled around the playback source (circled).

Figure 4. Enlarged nuptial pad (circled) of an active male *P. glandulosa*.
Meanwhile four females encountered did not respond much except by turning their back on the source of playback. Other two females found responded by producing very soft and short calls. The female responded towards the playback recording by producing a small “wik” sound (Figure 5). Different from male calls, female mating calls are less intense and have shorter duration (Penna et al., 1992; Emerson & Boyd, 1999). The frequency spectrogram reveals that there is slight frequency modulation, with frequency of 1.75 kHz. However, the sound was too weak to be detected and analyzed by Soundruler software, but can be seen in spectrogram in Praat software. All six females are distant from other calling male, where the calls can only be heard from afar.

**Acoustic Playback of *P. baramica* (Brown Marsh Frog)**

Figure 6 shows the oscillogram of one note consists of series of pulse of normal *P. baramica* calls that was used for playback recording. Inactive *P. baramica* male frog (n = 6) found alongside trails (distance around 1 m from speaker) only blinked and did not give much response upon listening to the playback. However, six male *P. baramica* frogs that were calling from some distances (>5 m) responded to the playback [Figure 6(a)] by producing calls directly after playback calls with increasing number of pulses that ended with tonal note [Figure 6(b)]. Two male *P. baramica* frogs that were calling from around 1 m responded to the playback similar with male that call from distance, however they approach the playback sources and stopped moving around 15 cm from it (Figure 7). Female *P. baramica* frogs (n = 8) were encountered alongside the trails however did not respond to the playback at all. During the experiments, there are no simultaneous calls from other nearby male frogs.

**Acoustic Playback of *K. appendiculatus* (Frilled Tree Frog)**

Playbacks for *K. appendiculatus* were done in the environment where the males simultaneously call, with less than 1m distance from each other. The distance between playback recording and the target is less than 3 meters. Figure 8 shows the oscillogram of *K. appendiculatus* calls that consists of series of

![Figure 5. The (a) oscillogram and (b) spectrogram of female *P. glandulosa* respond call towards playback call (circled)](image-url)
Figure 6. The oscillogram and spectrogram of male *P. baramica* call, showing (a) spectrogram of the playback call and the (b) spectrogram of respond call.

Figure 7. Cropped photo from video shows responsive male *P. baramica* (a) started calling at the top of the tree, and (b) went down toward playback source and stop moving around 15 cm from playback sources (circled).
notes, and was used for playback recording. For male *K. appendiculatus* (*n* = 10), the individuals responded by stop making calls and start moving towards the sound source. *Kurixalus appendiculatus* males encountered had responded to the playback by moving their head up and down and make a move towards the amplifier without producing any call to confront the alleged male (Figure 9).

However, no respond call was produced. Compared to *P. glandulosa*, nearby males were not affected by playback calls, only the individuals that the amplifier was directed towards them responded. All three species of *P. glandulosa*, *P. baramica* and *K. appendiculatus* did not come close enough to knock down the amplifier. It stops around 15-30 cm from the amplifier but still moving its head around, like to accurately pinpointing the sound source, or confused because there were no intruders when they reached the sound source. As this is call from male to male, this behavior most probably acts as aggression, to warn the intruding male. Female *K. appendiculatus* (*n* = 5) encountered did not respond to the playback.

![Figure 8](image1.png)

**Figure 8.** The (a) oscillogram and (b) spectrogram of male *K. appendiculatus* call

![Figure 9](image2.png)

**Figure 9.** Cropped photo from video shows responsive male *K. appendiculatus* moving their head up and down
**DISCUSSION**

Most of the male frogs do respond by confronting the playback source which is the amplifier and the carrier of the amplifier (Table 1). Only *P. glandulosa* were found to produce aggressive calls towards the playback recording. All male *P. glandulosa* encountered had responded immediately with aggressive calls after they heard the recorded calls. The frogs were probably threatened by the calls of intruding males, and calls were produced as a form of male spacing (Bee, 2007). Males of all three species responded by approaching the playback source, as if they were trying to confront the intruders as the act of aggression. Out of three studied species, only male *K. appendiculatus* were calling near each other, whereas each calling males of *P. glandulosa* and *P. baramica* were distant from one another. During 10 minute-playback recording, responding male were either stop closed to the playback source or jumping around it, as if to examined the foreign object that emitting the calls. The result might differ if there are an actual calling frog instead of speaker and amplifier. After the playback calls were stopped, the responding frogs continued to stay at same place without moving. Narins *et al.* (2003) reported that the physical attacks by territorial male frogs can be provoked in response to dynamic bimodal stimuli.

The calls made by responding female *P. glandulosa* need to be further explored since it is unclear whether the responded calls were meant to inform that the male was accepted or rejected for breeding. However, it is known that female frogs most commonly did not initiate the calling, but rather in responds toward the advertisement calls of the male (Orlov, 1997; Tobias *et al.*, 1998). The vocalisation may act as signal to alert the male that they are female and gravid. Characteristics between female calls and the calls of conspecific males were known to be related directly to sexual dimorphisms in laryngeal apparatus morphology (Emerson & Boyd, 1999).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Distance from Playback Source (m)</th>
<th>Response towards Playback Recording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pulchrana glandulosa</em></td>
<td>Male (10)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (6)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pulchrana baramica</em></td>
<td>Male (14)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (8)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kurixalus appendiculatus</em></td>
<td>Male (10)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (3)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For *P. baramica*, males that were calling from some distances (1-5 m) responded to the playback, but the male that are close to the playback source did not respond. For *K. appendiculatus*, unresponsive female to the playback sound might due to the presence of several males in the surrounding that allow it to choose the fittest individual male, where the playback sound might not be the fittest call among them. They might also be “prolonged breeders” (Wells, 1977), where reproduction often takes place in more predictable environments and can occur over a long time period.
call of *P. baramica* to *K. appendiculatus* and *P. glandulosa*, and male call of *K. appendiculatus* to *P. glandulosa* and *P. baramica*. However, the playback calls illicit no response from the targeted individuals. This probably because they are neighbours, as some territorial animals display low levels of aggression towards a familiar territorial neighbour in its usual territory (Bee & Gerhardt, 2002). They might have evolved to adapt in a noisy environment such as Bornean rainforest, by enhancing their ability to select biologically relevant sounds from background noise, such as the calls of other species (Narins, 1982).

**CONCLUSION**

From the acoustic playback, both male and female *P. glandulosa* responded towards the calls. The male produces a crying-like sound meanwhile the female produces a small “wik” sound. For male *K. appendiculatus*, the individuals responded by moving towards the sound source. The results showed that regardless of sex, frogs reacted differently towards their recorded vocalization. Advertisement call recorded can only be recognized by the conspecific frogs after aired on the amplifier. This shows that a frog possesses a capacity for call recognition from acoustic playback. The sound recorded thus was good enough to be used for future research and amphibian conservation management.
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